IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRI. REVISION APPLICATION NO. 14 OF 2005

Shri Shabbir Ahmed Sherkhan ..Petitioner.
V/s.
The State of Maharashtra ..Respondent.

Shri C.S. Joshi for the Petitioner.
Shri K.V.Saste, APP for Respondent No.1 -State.

CORAM : A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.
DATED : FEBRUARY 08,2005.

PC :-

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. Having regard to the concurrent finding of fact reached by two courts below and overwhelming evidence on record, no interference is warranted in exercise of the revisional jurisdiction. The only argument canvassed before this court is that lenient approach be adopted so as to give some relief to the applicant who has already suffered in terms of his loss of job and other incidental benefits. In the present case, for the reasons recorded by the appellate court in para -20 and 21 of the order, even that argument cannot be entertained. The courts below have already taken lenient view of the matter by imposing rigorous imprisonment of six months and fine of Rs. 2000/-. No further leniency can be shown. Hence, rejected.

. . . . .

. . . . .